Entered on Docket November 08, 2013 GLORIA L. FRANKLIN, CLERK U.S BANKRUPTCY COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

BANKRUPTO COLUMN TO THE PART OF CHAPTER TO TH

The following constitutes the order of the court. Signed November 8, 2013

William J. Lafferty, III U.S. Bankruptcy Judge

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

OAKLAND DIVISION

In re
Peni H. Inukihaangana,
Sherry Laufasa,

No. 13-44260 Chapter 13

## MEMORANDUM REGARDING JUDICIAL LIEN AVOIDANCE

Debtors.

The above-captioned debtors filed the above-captioned case on July 26, 2013. On September 9, 2013 the debtors filed a Motion to Avoid Judicial Lien pursuant to section 522(f)(1)(A) of the Bankruptcy Code. On October 7, 2013 the debtors filed a request for an entry of order by default, and uploaded a proposed order for the Court's review. The Court returned the order as defective because the debtors' Schedule C did not include a claimed exemption for the real property encumbered by the judicial lien. On October 21, 2013 the debtors filed a Supplemental Brief in Support of Motion to Avoid Judicial Lien. The Court has reviewed the supplemental brief.

The Court appreciates the debtors' attention to the matter. The issue is whether it is necessary to claim an exemption on the Schedule C in order to avoid a judicial lien under section 522(f)(1)(A). In this case, the debtors did not claim the homestead exemption because the real property encumbered by the judicial lien is fully encumbered by deeds of trusts and the debtors hold no equity in the real property. Supplemental Br. in Supp. Of Mot. To Avoid Judicial Lien of Citibank South Dakota, N.A., 1, Oct. 21, 2013, ECF No. 28. According to the debtors' brief, the language of section 522(f) indicates no exemption must actually be claimed, and many courts do not require an exemption to be claimed. The debtors cite a Fourth Circuit Court of Appeals decision, Botkin v. DuPont Community Credit Union, 650 F.3d 396 (4th Cir. 2011). Id. at 2.

The Court acknowledges that under the Botkin decision, debtors in the Fourth Circuit are not required to claim property as exempt on the Schedule C. See Botkin v. DuPont Community Credit Union, 650 F.3d 396, 401 (4th Cir. 2011). However, the Botkin decision is not binding in this jurisdiction, and recent decisions by the Bankruptcy Appellate Panel for the Ninth Circuit indicate an exemption must actually be claimed on the Schedule C. See, e.g., Green v. Hapo Community Credit Union (In re Green), No. 12-1486 (Aug. 12, 2013). According to the Bankruptcy Appellate Panel, there are four requirements to avoid a judicial lien under section 522(f)(1)(A). The second requirement is that "the property must be listed on the debtor's schedules and claimed as exempt." Id. at 9 (quoting Goswami v. MTC Distrib. (In re Goswami), 304 B.R. 386,

β90-91 (9th Cir. BAP 2003) (internal quotations omitted). A lack of equity in the real property encumbered by the lien does not prevent lien avoidance, but the property must be listed on the schedules and an exemption must be claimed. See Green v. Hapo at 10. An exemption in the amount of \$0.00 can be claimed on the Schedule C. Id at 14. For the reasons stated above, the Court is unwilling to grant the debtors' request for entry of default at this time.

## \*\*END OF MEMORANDUM\*\*

## COURT SERVICE LIST

	1 COOKI A
2	Peni H. Inukihaangana 5071 Garden Way Fremont, CA 94536
4 5	Sherry Laufasa 5071 Garden Way Fremont, CA 94536
6	W. Kirk Moore
7	Law Offices of W. Kirk Moore 586 N. First St. #202 San Jose, CA 95112
8	Martha G. Bronitsky
9	P.O. Box 9077 Pleasanton, CA 94566
10	
11	Office of the U.S. Trustee/Oak Office of the U.S. Trustee 1301 Clay St. #690N
12	Oakland, CA 94612
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

4